G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1904
(Madras)

File No. 177

Serial Nos. 2–6.

Papers Filed.

Month September 1906.

SUBJECT.

Bhashya Parayana post in the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Tirumale' Nagadi Taluk.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	May 1–26–06 31–09

[illegible]

Mysore] 190

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE

File No.

Subject.

In re the prayer of the Acharya Purusha Venkata Desika Char of the Tirumale temple to be relieved of the additional duty of reciting Bhasya Swara.

From

The Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dist.

No. 50 Dated 18th August 1906.

Venkata Desika Char of Tirumale, Magadi Taluk, addressed a petition to this office — (illegible) representing that under the authority of a Sannad granted by H. H. The late Maharaja Sri Krishna Raja Wadiar Bahadur III and 2 other documents, the post of Acharya Purusha of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at the place was in the enjoyment of his family in hereditary succession; that during the revision of the Varadik Patti of the temple, the duty of reciting Bhasya Swara was in addition,

upon him; that his pay was consequently raised from Rs 1-0-4 to Rs 1-4-0; and further that there is at present a separate hand for reciting Bhashya Purana. He prayed that the small increase of pay sanctioned during the revision of the Yadrik Patti ~~may~~ might be stopped and that ~~he~~ consistently with the terms of the Sannad, he ~~may~~ might be relieved of the additional duty - ~~under Secretary's orders, the~~ the petition was sent for report to the Dy. Commr of the Bangalore Dist and that officer reports that though (Sl/- R notices were issued to the petr. to produce documentary evidence, if any, to support his case, the petr did not comply with them; and that the Amildar reported ~~to him~~ that the petr. had no reason to complain as he was given an increase of pay for the additional duty. He further reports that there is no other hand in the temple to recite Bhashya Purana and that the allegation of the petitioner

GPB—2,000—13-2 05

Muzrai Secretariat | File No of 190

appears to be correct.

From the revised Jasdek Patte, it is observed that the Petis. pay was increased from Rs 1-4 to Rs 1-4 0, and that the coordinal duty of reciting Bhashya and yejur Veda was imposed upon him.

Submitted
Rs
4/9/05.

may an endorsement be issued to the petitioner accordingly

It would appear that Rao Bahr Mr Shamyasastry prescribed some duty or other for this and other similar sinecure appointments. ~~The Petr may I think be~~ It may, in my humble opinion, be directed that the duties prescribed by the revised Jasdik Patte will not now be re-considered.

I am informed that the Petr is dead. The above view however may be

Muzrai Secretariat. | File No. of 190

Communicated to the Dy Comr

143

Subd to the 2d Collector

Examined
5/9/06

Approved
MVR
5/9/06

Draft ready
KL 5/9/06

Sd/- [illegible]

Sd/- Gabriel.
KL
7/9/06.

Issue KL
9/9/06

Sd/- [illegible]

OM no 2261

D/15-12-04

Forwards for report petition no 1648 dated 26 Oct 1904 from Venkata Desika-char Acharya Purusha of the Sri Ranganatha-swami temple at Tirumala, Kapadi Taluk regarding the duty of Bhashya karana in the temple.

AV



Papers with the Yadgir Patti of Tirumale Ranganatha Swamy temple-

1st 21/4/06

7/5/06 Sn

129056

From

The Dy. Commissioner Bangalore Distt

To

The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Mercara Dist

Dated 20.

Despatched April 1906

Received

General No.

Jr. S No. 84

Enclosure No. 1 file

Reports on the petition of Venkata Desikachar, Acharya Purushaka of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Tirumale, Magadi Taluk re the Vaikhanasa Parayana Gosham in the said temple

M. P. 84
E. file

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
20. April 1906.

From D. Devaraj Urs Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore District

To V. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept.

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No. 2261 of 15th December 1904, forwarding for report petition from Venkata Desigachar, Acharya Purusha of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Tirumale, Nagadi Taluk, regarding the duty of Bashya parayana by him in the temple, I have the honor to state that noticed

were issued for the petitioner to produce any documentary evidence to support his case. The petitioner have not complied with the notice and the Amildar reports that the petitioner have no reason to complain as the former Maniyai Secretary has ordered in the Bava-vard that the Acharya Purusha-should perform Vadhya Parayana in addition to his duty and has increased his pay from 1-0-4 to 1-4-0.

2. The vernacular records bearing on the subject are submitted for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,
Ryotwar Thilly
for Dy. Commissioner

Mny

1905-06: 14

From

To

The Regr. ensmr of the
Bangalore Divn

Dated

Despatched 19th May 1906

Received

General No. 5346
No.

Enclosure No. one file

According to his letter no 84 Dy the 20th 1906 reporting on the peti of Venkata Desikachar, Acharya Purusha of the Ranganathaswamy temple in the at Tirumale, Magadi taluk re "Bhashya Parayana ~~by him~~ during, has the honor to state ~~observe~~ from the petition to this office addressed by the said it appears that there is a ~~that~~ hans to reside.

~~Secretary~~

Narayana - The Dy. Commr.

is requested to report whether

the allegation ~~made~~ is ~~a~~ true

~~fact~~ and if so, what ~~duties~~ other duties, if any, who the incumbent is

are ~~being~~ not performed by him.

2. The rem. pp. are herewith

returned for reference and

resubmission.

By order,

Secretary

9/5/06

AN

Recd
18/8/06.
Refer please

973

File in the Subject

15

189 06)

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Municipal Dept.

Dated 17.

Despatched 18 August 1906.

Received

General No.
M. S No. 50

Enclosure No. Nil

Hos No. 5346 dt 10.5.06.

Reports on the petition of Venkata devakachar Acharya Purusha of Sri Ranganathaswami temple at Srirangam Mysore Dist.

No. S. 50
E. Office.

Bangalore dist
Dy. commissioner's Office
17 August 1906.

From H. H. Shortt Esquire
Dy. commissioner
Bangalore dist.

To L. Anantasami Rao Esq. B.A.
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Mauzrai Deptt.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No. 5346 of 10 May 1906, calling
for a report on the petition of
Venkata Desikachar, Acharya Purusha
of the Sri Ranganathaswami temple
at Sirsunale in the Magadi Taluk
re 'Bashya Parayana service', I
have the honor to state that from a
copy of the Barawand, as revised
by Mr Sreenivasachar in 1896, the
then Mauzrai Secretary, enclosed in
the records, it appears that the
duty of reciting Bhashya Purana
is allotted to Venkata Desikachar,
Purusha with a monthly

allowance of 1-4-0 (vide 13) and the duty of reciting "Dravida Prabandha Stotra Patha" &c is allotted to another individual Narasimhacharya acting. Singracharl with the same allowance The allegation of the petitioner appears therefore untrue.

2 The vernacular papers ending with the Telegraph Asst Commrs' Yadast No- 160 dated 20 July 1906 is forwarded herewith for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt servant,
H H Sparks
Dy. Commissioner

MD 1014

Inwards. 16

Read;

Petition no 1648 dated 26th Oct 1904 from Venkata Desika Char, Acharya Purusha of the Sri Ranganathaswamy temple at Tirumale in the Nagadi Taluk representing that during the revision of the Jasdik Palli, the additional duty of reciting Bhashya Purana was imposed upon him, with an increase of pay of Rs.0-3-8; and that there is a — separate hand for reciting the Purana; and praying that he might be relieved of the additional duty, the enhancement of pay sanctd. to him being stopped,

And;

Corr

with letter no. 50 dy 17th Aug. 1906
from the Dy. Commr.
of the Bangalore Dist
reporting on the above.

Order thereon no. dy.

1661 D 12.9.06
Cir 1 file

In the correspondence
read above, it is reported
that though notices were
issued to the petr. to produce
documentary evidence, if any,
to support his case, he did
not comply with them; that
the petr. has no reason to
complain as he was given
an increase of pay for the
additional duty. It is
further reported that
there is no separate hand
in the temple for Bhashya
Purana and that the
allegation of the petitioner,
connection

appears to be ~~torture~~ incorrect.

The Govt. are pleased to direct that the duties prescribed by the revised Tasdik Patta will not now be ~~reconsidered~~ altered

(Brs. orders) 9/9/06
Secretary.

7/9/06

To, The Dy. Commr. Bangalore Dist. returning the Govt. App.